1. Introduction

With the wide applications in the large-scale energy storage devices and electronics, more researches focus on the high capacity, larger energy density and more cycles of Li-ion battery [1–7]. The conventional cathode materials, like layer structural LiCoO2, spinel structural LiMn2O4 and olivine structural LiFePO4 cannot meet the above requirement satisfactorily. Recently, Li-rich layer structural oxides (xLiMO2 (0 < x < 1, M=Ni, Co, Mn)) have the higher specific capacity and larger energy density, which have attracted more interests [8–10]. However, this layered oxide is restricted in its large capacity loss during the first charging, rapid capacity degradation and poor rate property [11,12]. The capacity loss during the first charging is proposed to be ascribed to the electrochemical activation of the Li2MnO3 with the releasing of the oxygen and lithium ion (when charged above 4.4 V), which results in a low coulombic efficiency in the first discharging [13].

Many studies focus on the modification strategies, which can enhance the electrochemical property of this kind layer structural oxide. Researchers found that coating layers of metallic oxide [14,15], fluoride [16,17], phosphate [18,19] could increase the electrochemical properties by decreasing the reactions in the interface between electrolyte and cathode and reducing the cathode dissolution. Zhou [16] designed a protective layer of LiF/FeF3 outside Li[Li0.2Ni0.3Mn0.6]O2, in which LiF could suppress interfacial side reactions and conversion reaction effectively. Zhuo [20] modified Li[Li0.1Ni0.45Mn0.45]O2 by the carbon similar to graphene, which could suppress interfacial side reactions and decrease the manganese dissolution. Therefore, the LiF and carbon are effective coating layer components for Li-rich cathodes. As a cost-effective and industrialized cathode, graphite fluoride ((CFx)n) has been used for primary batteries for many years [21–22]. Graphite fluoride can deliver a large irreversible capacity with the productions of LiF and carbon during the first discharging. Due to the actions of LiF and carbon, graphite fluoride (CFx)n is a probable modified material for the Li-rich oxides, which may improve initial coulombic efficiency and discharge capacity.

In this study, graphite fluoride ((CFx)n, x = 1) is used to modify the surface of Li1.14Ni0.133Co0.133Mn0.544O2 (LRNCM) through a facile solvent evaporating method. Due to conversion reaction of the graphite fluoride, the huge discharge capacity compensation during the first discharging can improve the coulombic efficiency significantly. As reaction products, the layer of LiF@carbon reduces the interfacial reactions and increases the reversible capacity. After modification by graphite fluoride, the discharge capacities are improved by 22% from 266 to 325 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.1 C, and 13% at 2 C. After 100 cycles, the discharge capability at 1 C is increased by 13% from 180 to 203 mAh g⁻¹.

2. Experimental details

2.1. Materials preparation

The carbonate coprecipitation method was used to prepare...
Li$_{1.14}$Ni$_{0.133}$Co$_{0.133}$Mn$_{0.544}$O$_2$. Stoichiometric amount of CoSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, MnSO$_4$·4H$_2$O and NiSO$_4$·6H$_2$O were dissolved into deionized water, which is titrated into Li$_2$CO$_3$ solution. The resulting coprecipitated carbonates powders were washed by distilled water, and then dried in a vacuum environment at 80 °C for over 20 h. After that, the powders were mixed with Li$_2$CO$_3$ which has the corresponding stoichiometric to above coprecipitation process. The mixed powders were ground for 30 min, and then pre-treated at 500 °C for 5 h and a followed calcination of 850 °C for 15 h in the air.

The different mass ratios of (CF)$_n$ powders were ultrasonic dispersed in ethyl alcohol for 120 min. Li$_{1.14}$Ni$_{0.133}$Co$_{0.133}$Mn$_{0.544}$O$_2$ powder was mixed with (CF)$_n$ solution by a magnetic stirring at 55 °C for 30 min and dried at 120 °C for 10 h. Finally, the obtained samples are denoted here below as LRNCM@2%CF, LRNCM@5%CF, LRNCM@10%CF.

2.2. Characterization

The morphology of the cathode materials was investigated by Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM, Hitachi SU8010) and Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM, Tecnai S20 F20). The crystalline structure of cathode materials was assessed with X-ray diffraction (XRD, BRUKER, D8 Focus, Germany) using the Cu-Ka radiation with 10–80° incidence angle. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS, ESCALAB 250xi) were used to investigate the surface of the cathode materials. All XPS spectra were corrected by using the C 1s (C–C, C–H) signal at 284.6 eV [24].

2.3. Electrochemical measurements

To prepare cathode electrode, the slurry consisting of 90 wt% pristine or CF-modified, 5 wt% acetylene black (Super-P) and 5 wt% polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) was spread on the aluminum foil and then vacuum dried at 110 °C for 14 h. CR2032-type coin half-cell was assembled in Ar-filled glove box (H$_2$O and O$_2$ 0.1 < ppm). The device is composed by the cathode electrode (Li-rich materials), anode (Li metal), separator (glass fiber, Whatman), and electrolyte (1 M LiPF$_6$/ EC-DMC). The cell was galvanostatically charged and discharged between 2.0 and 4.8 V vs Li/Li$^+$ (1 C is 200 mAg$^{-1}$) using a multichannel battery test system (Land, China). An electrochemical impedance spectrum (EIS) was recorded with the electrochemical workstation (Zahner Zennium) under 10 mV and a 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz range.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Cathode materials characterizations

Fig. 1 shows the structural information of pristine LRNCM and LRNCM@CF by XRD measurements. The main diffraction peaks of pristine LRNCM and LRNCM@CF samples are well indexed to a typical α-NaFeO$_2$ structure, except some superstructure peaks between 20° and 25° [5,25–27]. Highly ordered layered structure of pristine LRNCM and LRNCM@CF samples are reflected by these obvious peaks and peak

Fig. 1. X-ray diffraction data of pristine LRNCM, LRNCM@CF and pure CF specimens.

Fig. 2. SEM morphology of (a-c) pristine LRNCM particles and (d-f) LRNCM@2%CF particles under different magnification.
splits of (006)/(102) and (018)/(110) [28,29]. The small peaks between 20° and 25° are the diffractions of Li⁺ and Mn⁴⁺ in Li₂MnO₃ structure (C2/m) [16,17]. In the diffraction of LRNCM@10%CF samples, the wide-ranged peaks between 10° and 15° are corresponding to the (001) diffraction of pure CF specimens. The (001) and (100) diffractions of pure CF specimens are consistent with the typical position for graphite fluoride reported in prior studies [30,31]. Therefore, the crystalline structure of LRNCM@CF is not changed obviously by modification, which indicates that the CF coating is a mild process.

The surface morphology and particle sizes of pristine LRNCM and LRNCM@CF samples are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. S1. The results show that pristine LRNCM and LRNCM@2%CF secondary spherical particles have a similar size of about 5–10 µm. Compared with pristine LRNCM, an obvious film is observed on the particle surface after modification. With the mass ratios of (CF)n powders increasing to 20%, some superfluous CF slices are observed due to the stronger binding force between CF and CF, which can affect the formation of CF film on the surface of LRNCM. Furthermore, TEM images of the pristine LRNCM and LRNCM@CF samples are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. S2. The higher mass ratios of (CF)n powders can contribute to a thicker coating layer. The measured inter-planar distance is 0.47 nm in the crystallized areas, which matches well to the (003) crystal planes of LRNCM. From Fig. 3(d), a coating layer about 10 nm thickness was observed on the surface of LRNCM.

XPS were used to analyze the composition and chemical states of pristine LRNCM and LRNCM@5%CF samples. From Fig. 4(a) and (b), the F 1s peak at 688.57 eV can be seen obviously in the LRNCM@5%CF samples, which is corresponding to the semi-ionic C-F bond of graphite fluoride [22,32], whereas there is no peak of F 1s in the pristine LRNCM samples. It can be seen from Fig. 4(c) that there is an obvious change in C 1s spectra, which comes from C element in coating layer of LRNCM @5%CF samples. It is found that the main peak shifts from 289.8 eV to 284.8 eV after CF modification. The peaks at 289.8 and 291.7 eV in LRNCM @5%CF samples are corresponding to $\text{F}_2\text{C(C)}_3$ and $\text{F}_2\text{C(CF)}_3$ moieties, which are associated with the bonding and the distributions of F in the carbon matrix [22].

The high-resolution XPS spectra of Ni 2p, Co 2p, and Mn 2p are shown in Fig. 4(d) and Fig. S3, which indicate that the valence states of Ni, Co and Mn of LRNCM and LRNCM@5%CF samples are corresponding to 2+, 3+ and 4+, respectively [33,34]. Moreover, the ratios of satellite peaks in Ni 2p spectra for the LRNCM@5%CF samples surface have an increase in Fig. 4(d). To investigate the reason for this change of Ni element, the XPS spectra of pure CF sample is also shown in Fig. S4. It is found that the Ni 2p peaks exists in the spectra of pure CF sample, which comes from the Ni impurities during the synthesis process of graphite fluoride. In addition, the depth profile of Ni 2p is revealed by XPS with ion sputtering for 30 s, 60 s and 90 s, as shown in Fig. 4(e) and (f). The Ni 2p peaks in Fig. 4(f) just has a little difference between before and after ion sputtering (black line), whereas after sputtering 30 s the binding energy of Ni 2p peaks have a similar spectra (red, line and pink lines). The results indicate that CF layer can be coated on the surface of LRNCM and has no effect on the bulk phase of LRNCM.
3.2. Electrochemical performance

The electrochemical performance of cells were investigated by galvanostatic charge-discharge experiments between 2.0 and 4.8 V. Fig. 5(a) and (b) compares the charge-discharge curves of the beginning two cycles of pristine LRNCM and LRNCM@CF samples. Obviously, the additional discharging plateau of LRNCM@CF samples is at 2.4 V during the first cycle, which is ascribed to the conversion reaction of (CF)n to LiF. This electrochemical process is described by the following equation [35,36]:

\[ \text{CF}_x + x\text{Li}^+ + xe^- \rightarrow C + x\text{LiF} \]  

(1)

Fig. 4. XPS spectra of pristine LRNCM and LRNCM@5%CF samples: (a) XPS survey spectra; (b) F 1 s; (c) C 1 s; (d) Ni 2p; (e-f) the depth profile of Ni 2p.

However, no corresponding charging plateau around 2.4 V exists in the 2nd charging process and no similar discharging plateau appears in the 2nd discharging process, indicating that the transformation process of LiF is irreversible. According to the equation, as the reaction products LiF@carbon coating layer can generate on the surface of LRNCM after initial discharging. In Fig. 5(a), the initial charge and discharge capacities of the pristine LRNCM samples are 367 and 284 mAh g\(^{-1}\), respectively, with the 77% coulombic efficiency. From the data of Table 1, the LRNCM@CF samples have the higher capacity and initial coulombic efficiency. For LRNCM@10%CF samples, the charge and discharge capacity are 392 and 390 mAh g\(^{-1}\), respectively. Meanwhile, the coulombic efficiency is significantly improved to 99%.

Moreover, Fig. 5(c)-(f) shows the differential capacity versus voltage (dQ/dV) curves, in which the oxidation peak around 3.9 V is ascribed to the reversible Ni\(^{2+}/Ni^{4+}\) oxidation process [18,37]. The oxidation peak around 4.4 V in the first cycle is related to the partially reversible anionic O\(^{2-}/O^{2-x}\) and irreversible loss of oxygen in first charging [11,37], accompanied by an irreversible capacity loss. The reduction peak around 2.4 V in the first cycle is attributed to the irreversible reaction of CF. Therefore, in our studies, the CF coating layer
compensates the capacity loss of LRNCM and significantly increases the
coulombic efficiencies in the first cycle.

The cycling performance of pristine LRNCM and LRNCM@CF samples at 0.1 C and 1 C are shown in Fig. S5 and Fig. 6(a), respectively. From Fig. S5, the LRNCM sample shows a discharged capacity at 240.2 mAh g^{-1} after 30 cycles at 0.1 C. After modification, the discharge capacity of LRNCM@ (2%, 5%, 10%) CF samples increase to 250.6, 266.6 and 273.5 mAh g^{-1}, respectively. For Fig. 6(a), the first two cycles were activated under 0.1 C, and the following cycles were performed under 1 C. The discharge capacity after 100 cycles for the pristine LRNCM is 180 mAh g^{-1}, which is similar to the values previously reported [16,38]. After modification, the discharge capacity of LRNCM@ (2%, 5%, 10%) CF samples increase to 184, 196 and 203 mAh g^{-1} (by 2%, 9% and 13%), respectively. In Fig. 6(b), the LRNCM@CF samples have more discharge capacity below 3.5 V regions compared with the pristine LRNCM. Therefore, the discharge capacities are plotted into two regions that below and above 3.5 V vs Li/Li+. The results show that the discharge capacity of LRNCM@CF samples at the high-potential region is similar to that of pristine LRNCM (> 3.5 V vs Li/Li+), whereas, the capacity of LRNCM@CF is obviously improved in the region below 3.5 V. From SEM images (Fig. S6) of the LRNCM@CF and the pristine LRNCM after cycles, the pristine LRNCM are rough due to the damage during cycling, while LRNCM@CF can be preserved well. The LiF@carbon coating layer on the surface of particles, acting as a protective layer, which can decrease the direct contact between the electrolyte and electrode. Therefore, the layer can suppress

Fig. 5. Charge–discharge characteristics of pristine LRNCM and LRNCM@CF sample: the (a) first and (b) second cycle curves; (c-f) the corresponding differential capacity versus voltage (dQ/dV) curves.

Table 1
Comparison of the initial capacity and coulombic efficiency for the pristine LRNCM and LRNCM@CF samples at 0.1 C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>The 1st charge capacity (mAh g^{-1})</th>
<th>The 1st discharge capacity (mAh g^{-1})</th>
<th>Initial coulombic efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LRNCM</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRNCM@2%CF</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRNCM@5%CF</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>85.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRNCM@10%CF</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
interfacial side reactions and dissolution of transition metal elements during cycling resulting in the significant improvement of the reversible capacity.

The rate capability of pristine LRNCM and LRNCM@CF samples with different proportions CF under 0.1 C, 0.2 C, 0.5 C, 1 C, 2 C, 5 C and 10 C, as shown in Fig. 6(c). With increasing current rate, the specific capacities of all samples reduce due to the electrochemical polarization. Fig. 6(c) shows that the LRNCM@10%CF samples exhibit a higher rate capability below 2 C. The discharge capacities of the pristine LRNCM samples are about 266, 255, 230, 210, 177, 131 and 95 mAh g⁻¹ corresponding to the different current rate, respectively. The discharge capacities of LRNCM@10%CF samples are about 325, 288, 265, 237, 200, 140 and 100 mAh g⁻¹. With the cycling and current density increasing, the interfacial reactions between LRNCM and electrolyte can be aggravated, resulting in the increase of charge transfer resistance. Therefore, the restraint of the Li-ion transport between the cathode and the electrolyte is an another important reason for the poor rate capability of the pristine LRNCM. For the CF-modified LRNCM, the higher mass ratios of (CF)n samples can generate a thicker and more uniform LiF@carbon coating layer, which more effectively protect the LRNCM and reduce the increase of charge transfer resistance. Meanwhile, with the LiF@carbon layer thickening, the increase of C and LiF improve electrical conductivity and ionic conductivity on the surface, which can effectively improve the lithium ion diffusion and reduce the impedance of the batteries. In consequence, the LRNCM@CF samples deliver the improved rate capability below 2 C rate. However, at high rate (5 C and 10 C) the performance has no strong correlation with the electronic conductivity, and is limited mainly by the lithium ion diffusion in the bulk LRNCM layered structure [12,39]. The LiF@carbon coating layer can facilitate the electrical conductivity and ionic conductivity of the surface of LRNCM, but can’t change the lithium ion diffusion in bulk materials. Therefore, there is no obvious improvement at larger current densities (5 C and 10 C) for the LRNCM@CF samples.

The EIS measurements are conducted to investigate the electrochemical resistance after charging to 4.8 V. Fig. 7(a) and (b) show Nyquist plots of the LRNCM and LRNCM@10%CF cathodes after different cycles. The plots at an enlarged scale are shown in the insets. The EIS plots of each electrode consist of resistances of solution resistance (Rsol), resistances of surface film (Rsf), resistances of charge transfer (Rct), and Warburg impedance (Zw), respectively [4,40–44]. Fig. 7(c) is the corresponding equivalent circuit model. The resistance values are calculated by the equivalent circuit model, as shown in Table 2. On one
hand, after CF modification, the $R_{ct}$ in fact increases from 16.1 $\Omega$ to 17.3 $\Omega$ after the 5th charging to 4.8 V, which is related to the presence of the LiF@carbon layer on the surface of LRNCM. On the other hand, $R_{ct}$ decreases from 330.1 $\Omega$ to 250.3 $\Omega$ by 24% during the 5th charging to 4.8 V. With increasing cycle numbers, $R_{ct}$ usually increase significantly due to the side reaction and structural degradation. After 100 cycles, the $R_{ct}$ for LRNCM electrode is 935.5 $\Omega$, whereas that of the LRNCM@10%CF electrode is only 543.8 $\Omega$. Thus, the total resistance decreases from 946 to 556.2 $\Omega$ by 42% due to the CF modification. These results are ascribed to the coated LiF@carbon layer outside LRNCM which can inhibit the interfacial reactions between LRNCM and electrolyte and decrease the interfacial resistance. Therefore, after modification the interfacial stability of the LRNCM is significantly enhanced, resulting in the improvement of the rate capability and cycling performance.

4. Summary

In conclusion, the graphite fluoride-modified Li$_{1.14}$Ni$_{0.13}$Co$_{0.13}$Mn$_{0.54}$O$_2$ cathode materials are successfully prepared through a facile solvent evaporating process. On one hand, modification of graphite fluoride compensates the capacity loss during the first cycle to significantly improve initial coulombic efficiency. On the other hand, the reaction products form the LiF@carbon layer on the surface of the cathode, which decreases the interfacial reactions to improve the reversible capacity. After CF modification, the discharge capability is increased by 22% from 266 to 325 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 0.1 C. The discharge capability at 1 C after 100 cycles is increased by 13% from 180 to 203 mAh g$^{-1}$. The EIS measurements prove that the LiF@carbon layer decreases cathode/electrolyte interfacial reactions, resulting in the decreases of the charge transfer resistance.
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